MINUTES

The Regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the North Broward Hospital District was held at 4:00 p.m, at the Broward Health Corporate Offices at the Spectrum location-1700 NW 49 Street, Fort Lauderdale.

Notice of this meeting is attached to the official Minutes as EXHIBIT I. The official Agenda for this meeting, as presented for the consideration of the Board, is attached to the official Minutes as EXHIBIT II. Original registration sheets listing attendees, as well as those who wished to address the Board, are attached to the official Minutes on file in the Board of Commissioners’ office.

Chair Rodriguez called the meeting to order at 4:20 p.m.

OATH OF OFFICE

Ms. Lynn Barrett, General Counsel administered the Oath of Office to Commissioner Linda M. Robison.

ROLL CALL

Commissioners:
Present: Commissioner Rocky Rodriguez – Chair
Commissioner Christopher Ure – Vice Chair
Commissioner Maureen Canada - Secretary/Treasurer
Commissioner Joel Gustafson - Board Member
Commissioner Sheela VanHoose – Board Member
Commissioner Linda M. Robison – Board member

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Commissioner Joel Gustafson.

SFCCN PRESENTATION- Mr. John Benz, President/CEO of the SFCCN introduced COO Jessica Lerner and CFO Ed Maszak.

Mr. Benz informed the Commissioners that it has been 26 months since he came in front of the NBHD Board of Commissioners asking for approval of the inauguration of the limited liability corporation of the SFCCN which is governed by two documents. June 8, 2014, was the first day that they moved staff to an office in the western region and on July 1st, they officially began operations on Medicaid managed care contract.

He then provided a brief overview of the history of the SFCCN. Mr. Benz informed the Board that the SFCCN started back in 2000 when then Governor Bush asked for the three public hospital districts of South Florida (Jackson, Memorial and Broward) to come together and create
SFCCN to be an alternative to managed care plans and to be a provider, network and service network owned by providers vs. a health plan. SFCCN was a third party administrator charged with caring for the Medicaid and Special Needs population for Dade and Broward County and they made sure that there was an adequate provider network and they generated revenues somewhere around $35 and $40 million a year from 1998 to 2014. The three parties were in a partnership until 2014 when they bid as three parties to control Dade and Broward County. They did not win the bid in Dade County and as a result, the partnership between the three parties became a two party entity. In 2011, the Legislation passed the Medicaid Assistance program which said that two-thirds of the Medicaid members in the state would move from fee-for-service to Medicaid Managed Care. However, in 2014, they won the bid and for the period of time July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 their annual revenues for the Medicaid program were about $120 million and they cared for 43,000 lives. Today they are $130 million FY 16 and caring for 45,000 lives.

The second major business unit is a contract between SFCCN and the Department of Health to care for the Special Needs kids from Fort Pierce to Sarasota to Key West. This program is not a risk contract. It is a contract where they managed the delivery system for the members to find the appropriate doctors. The third piece of revenue is they are the third party administrators for the employees of the Memorial Healthcare System. These generate revenue at cost and whatever the cost, Memorial pays SFCCN. SFCCN is the back office for their primary care system and their Up Fund which is Broward’s PPUC and they do some claims processing for other items. In total there is revenue of $145 million going through the SFCCN and covering 98,000 lives.

Mr. Benz said the structure is an LLC—50-50 members and neither party controls, two members two votes. If they are not unanimous, it does not exist. The two members today are Aurelio Fernandez, newly appointed CEO of SBHD, and Pauline Grant, Broward Interim CEO. Mr. Wallace has done a lot of work with them and he is Broward’s delegate for SFCCN. Mr. Benz said he is the manager, it is a public meeting, there are four committees (Finance, Audit, Compensation; Committees which are all public. The only one that is not public is Quality Improvement (Peer Review Committee).

Mr. Benz presented goals for three years. He said that if they did not achieve those goals by 2017-'18, they will not be in a position to renew their contracts. It is not a perpetual like Hospice, it is a contractual business. The goals were set to be at the top percentile for quality in calendar year 2018 and in the 75 percentile in 2017, not only for quality but for member and provider satisfaction. He then went through the goals and major functions in detail. Mr. Benz then addressed the liquidated damages. He said that in a typical hospital contract they get paid for performance but in the Medicaid managed care contract they get sanctioned for non-performance. He then reviewed the types of sanctions and the economic impact it can have to the organization. He said that sanctions are three types: Quality (Benchmark=NCQA 50th percentile); Process (Turn Around times, Claims, Authorization, Call Center); and Reporting (Timely, Accurate). SFCCN 2015 liquidated damages total was $41,050 which was the lowest in the state. With regard to financial data, he said that it has been 21 months SFCCN has contributed $21.1 million into the fund. The fund balance when started with the two Districts was $10 million in the fund and the fund balance is now $30 million. It is a very healthy corporation.

Mr. Benz then discussed how they compare to the market. There are only four Medicaid companies in Broward County. Better Health posted a loss of $1 million; Humana a loss of $19 million; Sunshine posted a loss of $691,000 and SFCCN posted a gain of $3.3 million. He also
addressed the management fees: Better Health is 11%; Humana is 14%; Sunshine is 11% and SFCCN is 5%. SFCCN has 167.5 employees ranging from four doctors to 30 clerks paying bills. The audit filed a loss to the state of $424 million, SFCCN made $3 and the rest of the plans lost money. Mr. Benz reviewed the HEDIS Scores and commented that they do not know the scores until June 2016 and hopefully, if he was invited back again in three months, he could advise the Board where they are financially, the HEDIS scores and the liquidated damages.

Mr. Benz said that the future for SFCCN is good and they are working with CMS and DOH to renew the contracts which expire in 2017; AHCA contracts go through 12/31/18. Mr. Benz said that a year from now he will be back to the NBHD Board asking for approval to submit the application to AHCA for the next five year contract. He stated that the way that the two controlling documents exists today state that SFCCN can only do business with governmental entities, he cannot do business with a commercial health plan or the Catholic Church but he can do business with the City of Fort Lauderdale. Mr. Benz said that the members have the right to change that but it would come back to the NBHD and SBHD’s Board. Mr. Benz informed the Board that Medicaid gave approval for SFCCN to change its name to Community Care Plan of the Heart.

Commissioner VanHoose asked Mr. Benz if the Community Care Plan is able to provide management services for any other hospitals. He said only governmental entities. He said that they are approached every day but they are restricted to only governmental. Commissioner VanHoose asked if the SFCCN has the data for the inquiries that they receive from other entities be it private or other hospitals. Mr. Benz said there is an interest. For example, Memorial has an ACO and the City of Fort Lauderdale would love to be part of the plan. More importantly, this is a great vehicle for population health in Broward regardless of the financial class of the member because the two districts are responsible for every resident of this community. If the Board thinks that this back office can support the improvement of health and not be a burden on the institution then he feels they should go for it. Mr. Benz questioned now that they have proven that they can run as a small engine and do what they need to do for Broward County, why can’t they expand their business. Commissioner VanHoose said this is the first time since she has been on the Board that they have had this conversation and she feels that the Community Care Plan (SFCCN) should come back to this Board on a quarterly basis, at a minimum, to provide updates. She said that the great thing about this organization is that it is truly collaboration between the North and South Districts and they do really good healthcare that attracts 1.8 million people. This a great story to tell but a great service that they are providing.

Commissioner Gustafson asked about the penalty. Mr. Benz said it was an operational sanction, they did not find the paperwork on time for a person who passed away.

Commissioner Ure on that point, should they come back to propose the expansion of service to other entities. Mr. Benz said his proposal would be to have a strategic planning session where interested parties could attend in a public forum and think that through, then develop an action plan where they can come back to the two districts and get approval to proceed on a larger level. Commissioner Ure said should that planning session occur and should that ultimately come back with whatever was decided, the issue of the liquidated damages, he is sure that neither District would want to share in the fines or sanctions for another organization that provides the service. Mr. Benz commented with regard to the liquid damages there are some sub-contractors and just as Broward Health would do to a builder, he has passed the liquidated damages responsibility for their actions down to them. In the next two months, they are going to ask the sub-contractors to
obtain a performance bond. He said that he is not going to let any third party control that liquidated damage money.

RECOGNITION OF SHINING STARS. — The following employees were recognized as 4th quarter Shining Stars: Jennifer Carlson, RN, Cardiac Special Care Unit at BHNorth; Abraham Bishop, Mental Health Technician, Behavioral Health, BHMC; Paul McGowan, Staff Physical Therapist, BHCS; Sandra Caine, Patient Care Assistant, BHIP; Carol Zuniga, Patient Centered Primary Care Program, CDTC and Leslie Salvado, Ambulatory Business Specialist, Family Health Center, CHS.

COMMENTS FROM AUDIENCE:

Mr. Norman Grad, a resident of Margate, complimented the staff at BH Coral Springs on the care that he and another family member received while patients in the emergency room. They were very impressed with the care. He urged the board to use transparency. He feels that is the key. He said he asked his internist about his feelings on what was going on with the District. The doctor replied that there is a morality problem and the doctors are leaving because they are not comfortable with the District. Mr. Grad reminded the Commissioners that patient care is the key. With regard to the CEO search, he said that Memorial chose an internal person and they have a candidate by the name of Kelly who looked like he was more than qualified. He said that maybe the Board should take a look at Mr. Kelly. Mr. Grad said he was very offended when he saw what someone wrote in the Sun Sentinel about former Chair David Di Pietro. He commented that their jobs as appointees of the Governor is to set policy and do their due diligence and hopefully they will have the ability to be independent and do the right thing for the citizens of Broward County. Mr. Grad quoted a conservative republican senator from South Carolina, Lindsay Graham, who said elections matter.

Mr. John deGroot commented that last week one of the Commissioners informed him that he really did not understand adjusted admissions. He then went on to inform all of the Commissioners that it is a universal baseline that will enable people studying one system vs. another by factoring in inpatient numbers to outpatient numbers. Mr. deGroot said that when doing the budget they really need to reduce it down to a cost that does not look like monopoly money. This is a key when doing the budget to look at adjusted admissions not just from this year to last but over the past five years. He provided a handout outlining how to understand adjusted admissions and the areas in which they are used. They need to look at what is happening with non-hospital revenue streams and what is happening with hospital streams and system revenue streams.

Mr. deGroot then advised the Board that privatizing this District would be a nightmare. He said that in looking at the charges in certain areas and compares them to charges for non-profit and for-profit and competition in Memorial Healthcare, they will see that the BH is going to need more accountants. He mentioned that the Sun Sentinel has a total lack of understanding of what is would mean. What frightens Mr. deGroot is that the Board, as policy makers, must have a thorough understanding of what appears to be a politically popular move in the Governor’s Office and the Florida Legislature. From the standpoint of the District cultural and charges, can this District afford to privatize this system? He urged the Board to do their homework on
privatization. Privatization appears to be a hot ticket item for the Republican Party and the Governor. He said that the paperwork he has given to the Board members gives them all of the information. He did this because he cares. It took him two to three days to compile that information and his source is from BH’s financials.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

1. Request approval of the Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of the Board of Commissioners held March 16, 2016.

Commissioner Ure informed the Board that he was directed at the March 16, 2016 Special Board meeting by then Chair David Di Pietro to have the HR committee discuss parameters for Ms. Barrett’s review. Chair Rodriquez indicated that he had some recollection of overhearing this. Commissioner Ure questioned Ms. Wing as to why this is not reflected in the March 16 Board minutes. Ms. Wing informed Commissioner Ure and the Board that after listening to the audio several times and reviewing verbatim notes, there was no mention of this on the audio. However, Ms. Wing did advise Commissioner Ure that there was a conversation at the Legal Affairs Committee meeting on March 23rd directing the HR Committee to review and discuss parameters for Ms. Barrett’s review as well as formalizing a process. It was noted that the March 23rd Legal Affairs Committee minutes were approved at the March 30th Board meeting; thereby, authorizing the HR Committee to discuss this issue and bring back a recommendation to the Board.

**It was moved by Commissioner Gustafson, seconded by Commissioner VanHoose:**

**THAT THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS HELD ON MARCH 16, 2016 BE APPROVED AS PRESENTED.**

Motion carried unanimously.

2. Request approval of the Minutes of the Emergency Board of Commissioners meeting held on March 22, 2016.

**It was moved by Commissioner Gustafson, seconded by Commissioner Ure:**

**THAT THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS HELD ON MARCH 16, 2016 BE APPROVED AS PRESENTED.**

Motion carried unanimously.

3. Request approval of the Minutes of the Regular Board of Commissioners meeting held on March 30, 2016.

**It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Canada:**

**THAT THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS HELD ON MARCH 30, 2016 BE APPROVED AS PRESENTED.**
Motion carried unanimously.

MEDICAL COUNCIL AGENDA

4. BROWARD HEALTH IMPERIAL POINT

Dr. Lewkowitz provided the following update:
- On April 1- BHIP celebrated the physicians with Hawaiian Doctors' Day Luncheon
- Dr. Molly Zachariah lectured on women and heart disease at the Lauderdale Beach Community Center.
- Dr. Minn Saing was interviewed by Anita Finley for Boomer Times Radio.
- Dr. Gorbatiy and Edward Cornwell discussed men’s health and the importance of getting screened for prostate cancer. Men's Health Video Shoot - Dateline Health
- Dr. Zachariah and P. Zachariah spoke on cardiology issues at the CURE symposium presented by Boomer Times and co-sponsored by Palm Beach State College, Boca Raton, and Dr. MP Zachariah lectured at John Knox Village on Cardiovascular disease.
- Dr. Jonathon Kamerlink presented at High Tea and Trivia to a group of seniors on pain management.

Dr. Lewkowitz said there was a change. Dr. David Ring, Interventional Radiology, is still active and should not be changed to courtesy. Not included were the appointments to the Medical Council which will be submitted next month.

Dr. Howard Lewkowitz, Chief of Staff of Broward Health Imperial Point, reported that the Medical Council had reviewed and recommended for approval by the Board of Commissioners all exhibited Medical Staff Changes and Additions; Medical Staff Reappointments; Allied Health Changes and Additions; and Allied Health Reappointments.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Canada:

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF BROWARD HEALTH IMPERIAL POINT'S MEDICAL COUNCIL -- ITEMS 4 (A-D) WITH CHANGES.

A. Medical Staff Changes and Additions
B. Medical Staff Reappointments
C. Allied Health Changes and Additions
D. Allied Health Reappointments

Motion carried unanimously.
Commissioner Gustafson thanked BH Imperial Point for the treatment he received while at the hospital as a patient. Everything was wonderful.

5. **BROWARD HEALTH CORAL SPRINGS**

Dr. Zingaro advised the Board that Broward Health Coral Springs had their annual unannounced AHCA Risk Survey last week, which is normally three days. However, due to the amount of material and time for review by the surveyor, BHCS had a four day survey, which resulted with "Zero" deficiencies. A great big thank you and recognition to the Risk Manager, Maribeth Schmidt, along with assistance from Cynthia Kirsche, Michelle Diaz, Eileen O’Brien, and other members who took part during the survey. This is just another example of the great teamwork and outcomes that Broward Health Coral Springs and Broward Health System provide to its communities.

Dr. Guy Zingaro, Chief of Staff of Broward Health Coral Springs, reported that the Medical Council had reviewed and recommended for approval by the Board of Commissioners all exhibited Medical Staff Appointments; Medical Staff Reappointments; Allied Health Appointments; and Allied Health Reappointments.

Dr. Zingaro also informed the Board the report needs to be changed to reflect that Dr. Marlow Hernandez is deleted from the report due to a compliance issue.

It was moved by Commissioner Canada, seconded by Commissioner VanHoose:

**THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF BROWARD HEALTH CORAL SPRINGS MEDICAL’S MEDICAL COUNCIL -- ITEMS 5 (A-D) WITH CHANGES.**

A. Medical Staff Appointments  
B. Medical Staff Reappointments  
C. Allied Health Appointments  
D. Allied Health Reappointments

Motion carried unanimously.

6. **BROWARD HEALTH NORTH**

Dr. Hoffberger said that the administrative and hospital staffs wanted to express their support and appreciation of its new CEO Robert Bugg. Due to the best policies that he has mirrored from Pauline Grant, his availability, his visibly and his ability to communicate well with the staff in this short period of time is really appreciated.

Dr. Hoffberger provided the following update:
- On Monday, April 18th, BHN held their annual Medical Staff Meeting which was well attended by the physicians - over 135 physicians attended. Highlights of this meeting included the nomination of two new members to the Medical Staff Leadership team: Dr. Reda Sorial, Department of Surgery, and Dr.
Amal Hanna to Department of Medicine replacing Dr. Matthew Moore and Dr. David Lessen, respectively, whose 2-year term ended.

- BHN also acknowledged and thanked Dr. Nicholas Arfaras, Dr. Thomas Hammond, Dr. Frank Lavernia and Dr. William Rand for 35 years of service to BHN.
- On April 20th, BHN held their annual Recognition Dinner for Nurses. This annual event recognizes and shows their appreciation to all of their nurses for their dedication to delivering good quality care to the patients they serve.
- BHN is also pleased to report that they were acknowledged for their accomplishments in 2015 by Avatar Solutions (their patient survey partner) as Best Performer in three HCAHPS composites: (1) Communication with Nurses, (2) Communication about Medications, (3) Cleanliness, and they were also recognized as Most Improved in Communication about Medications.

Dr. Darren Hoffberger, Chief of Staff of Broward Health North, reported that the Medical Council had reviewed and recommended for approval by the Board of Commissioners all exhibited Medical Staff Additions, Changes and Resignations; Medical Staff Reappointment; Allied Health Additions, Changes and Resignations; Allied Health Reappointments and Community Health Reappointments.

It was moved by Commissioner Canada, seconded by Commissioner VanHoose:

**THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF BRODARD HEALTH NORTH’S MEDICAL COUNCIL --ITEMS 6 (A-E):**

A. Medical Staff Additions, Changes and Resignations
B. Medical Staff Reappointments
C. Allied Health Staff Additions, Changes and Resignations
D. Allied Health Staff Reappointments
E. Community Health Services Reappointments

Motion carried unanimously.

7. **BROWARD HEALTH MEDICAL CENTER**

Dr. Yogel provided the following update:

- The Becker’s Hospital Review named BHMC Emergency Room (ER) as one of the 10 busiest ERs in the country.
- Congratulations go to the performance and improvement team who presented their digital poster at the Florida Nurses Association Symposium where they won a first place on Evidenced Based Practice Category for reducing assaults in the behavioral services.
- Dr. Michael Power was invited to the World Trauma Congress to discuss his research about penetrating abdominal trauma.
- Two patients in the CVICU who received the ECMO therapy for complication of influenza A virus. One responded quickly to therapy and discharged and the other patient is still in the hospital.
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• BHMC held its Annual Staff meeting and it was a success. New Officers were elected and the list is contained in the report: Dr. Louis Yogel is still Chief of Staff; Dr. Michael Morrison, Vice Chief and Dr. Sunil Kumar, Secretary/Treasurer; Department of Medicine: Vice Chair Dr. David Perloff and Dr. Parham Estekhadi is Secretary/Treasurer; Department of Family Medicine: Chair Dr. Neal Miransky, Vice Chair Dr. Hector DeCarlo and Dr. Cobb Peterson, Secretary/Treasurer. OBY/GN Chair is Dr. Sasha Lazarus; Vice Chair is Dr. Cantino Brown-Burgess. Department of Psychiatry: Chair is Dr. Peter Ventre, Vice Chair is Dr. Leonard Grahnik.

Dr. Yogel said that he is happy to say with the help of Ms. Grant, Mr. Sprada and Lynn Barrett the process seems to be improving. The morale is a bit better. It is his understanding that 150 contracts went out to the doctors. The process seems to be moving along, it is just a question of getting the contract negotiations completed. Dr. Yogel said he wanted everyone to know that there has been absolutely no lapse in service at BHMC. The patients are still receiving excellent care.

Dr. Louis Yogel, Chief of Staff of Broward Health Medical Center, reported that the Medical Council had reviewed and recommended for approval by the Board of Commissioners all exhibited Medical Staff Changes and Additions; Allied Health Changes and Additions; Community Health Services Changes and Additions; Medical Staff Reappointments; Allied Health Reappointments; and Community Health Services & Weston Urgent Care Center Reappointment.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Canada:

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF BROWARD HEALTH MEDICAL CENTER’S MEDICAL COUNCIL— ITEMS 7 (A-F):

A. Medical Staff Changes and Additions
B. Allied Health Changes and Additions
C. Community Health Services Changes and Additions
D. Medical Staff Reappointments
E. Allied Health Reappointments
F. Community Health Services & Weston Urgent Care Center Reappointment

Motion carried unanimously.

8. MEDICAL STAFF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Dr. Dan Westphal said he wanted to bring back to the Board something talking about somewhat unstructured central credentialing process that BH had at the time. He reminded the Board that they were going to bring in a consultant, Greely Corporation who worked with them for about one week, evaluated all of the medical staff credentials policies and looked through everything at each. What he is presenting today comes from the result of what they have really to develop policies which were applicable to the Credentialing Qualification Department (CQD). Dr. Westphal said with these changes, along with some Bylaw changes, will allow BH to better define policies and workflows that are related to the pre-application and application process. Dr. Westphal said this was really a
corporation between the Chiefs of Staff and their legal counsel and the medical executive committees to get this done. He feels that they really came up with some viable terms of these policies. He then explained the reasons why these policies are needed. He then went through each policy in detail.

a) Request for application for Medical or Allied Health Staff Membership and/or Clinical Privileges: Pre-Application/initial Application Process.
   - Current unrestricted license to practice medicine in the State of Florida.
   - Current unrestricted DEA registration if applicable for privileges requested.
   - Malpractice insurance or requirements of financial responsibility as established by the State of Florida.
   - Evidence of board certification or eligibility.
   - Description of pending/final action by hospital or healthcare facility that resulted or may result in a denial, revocation, termination, restriction/limitation voluntary/involuntary relinquishment after notice of investigation or discipline of appointment or clinical privilege.
   - Description of any pending or adjudicated felony or misdemeanor convictions.
   - List of any adverse professional review action related to appointment to the medical staff or clinical privileges related to clinical competence or professional conduct.
   - Relevant criminal actions; practitioner must have no history of felony conviction or withhold of felony adjudication for a crime against a person involving bodily harm (includes a no contest plea or plea of guilt).
   - If the applicant is determined by the CQD not to meet the above criteria a review will be undertaken by the CMO in conjunction with the Chair of the C & Q Committee at the primary facility in which the applicant is seeking privileges.

b) Medical Staff Application Fees
   - Separates the pre-application fee paid to the CCCQD and the membership fee to the primary Region’s medical staff (funds cannot be co-mingled).
   - Details the membership fees to the additional regions medical staff(s).

c) Exchange of Credentialing, Performance Improvement, and Peer Review Information (Data Sharing between Regions).
   - Exchange of credentialing, performance improvement and peer review information.
   - Allows information necessary for the ‘credentialing process’ related to qualifications, competency, ability to practice, professional ethics or conduct of a medical/professional staff member.
   - Events that trigger exchange of information include: request of any Regional C&Q committee; determination of any regional C&A committee that it knows of information that should be exchanged to improve patient care; any individual’s application initial application or reappointment to the medical staff.
   - Conditions for exchange of information are detailed in the policy. Item IV.F particularly addresses the need to ensure security and confidentiality.

d) Physician Attribution
   - The policy helps to define the responsible physician for the majority of care rendered to the patient.
   - Defines the admitting physician; the attending physician; the consultant; the performing physician who either performs the surgery or invasive procedure; and the ED physician who does the initial Ed documentation or dictation.

Following Dr. Westphal’s presentation,
It was moved by Commissioner Ure, seconded by Commissioner Canada,

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE THE MEDICAL STAFF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES A B C AND D AS PRESENTED.

Motion carried unanimously.

INTERIM CEO REPORT – Pauline Grant

Ms. Grant welcomed Commissioner Robison on behalf of all the employees and physicians.

Ms. Grant said what she has tried to do was to organize her report in Pillars: Quality and Patient Safety; talking about people and employees, physicians and operational improvements and community. She said that earlier in the month the Quality Assessment and Oversight Committee (QAOC) met and they talked about quality achievements in the medical centers. She said remembering that BH’s mission is to provide quality care to the people they serve and supporting its employees and physicians. With that said, Ms. Grant reported on the following organized by medical center

- **BHIP**: Awarded Outstanding Patient Experience Safety by Healthgrades, an independent organization.
- Ms. Grant noted all of the mortalities measured specifically for Medicare patients. But in the future, at QAOC they will be looking at all patients, not just Medicare but all of the patients, all commercial patients and they will be reported under Mortalities.
- BHIP received re-certification by the Joint Commission for their Health Failure Program. BHIP is embarking on specific initiatives for the heart program.
- **BHMC**: Won 1st place in Florida Nursing Association Symposium competition for Evidence-based Practice – “Reducing Assaults in Behavioral Health Services.”
- Named 10th busiest ER in the USA by Becker’s Hospital Review Magazine.
- **BHC**: ZERO CLABSI’s (bloodstream infections) for 15 months in the ICU. This is important because when patients come to BH it is important to keep them safe so they do not acquire any blood stream infection or urinary tract infection.
- **Zero CAUTI’s** (urinary tract infections) for 12 months in the ICU. That is impressive because in the ICU they have the sickest patients and more prone to getting infections.
- **BHN**: Special Care Unit is a unit where they have patients with more complex illness and sometimes they are on ventilators and they have gone 16 months without a urinary tract infection. Dr. Hoffberger is the Medical Director for that unit and he does an outstanding job taking care of those patients.
- **Surgical Intensive Unit** has also gone 26 months without a CLABSI (blood stream infection).
- **Cardiac Care Unit** has had no falls for three years. Ms. Grant said it is important that patients do not fall, especially if an elderly person falls because it is not a good thing.
- **Gold Coast Home Health and Hospice** was recognized by Avatar Solutions as providing exemplary service—Most improved Home Health Agency; Exceeding Expectations—exceptional patient care.
- They were also recognized by CMS as 4 Star**** Home Health Agency.
- Community Health Services exceeded goal of >65% patient with AIC hemoglobin of <8.5
- Ms. Grant nominated BH in Becker’s HealthCare Review in two categories: Green Hospital Award and Innovation with Population Health and Clinical Integration.
• GME program received initial ACGME accreditation: Internal Medicine: effective July 1 2015 – 18 residents per year (total 54); Orthopedic Surgery: effective April 22, 2016- three residents per year (total 15 residents).
• Physician Contracts—Ms. Grant is proud to report and she wants to take this opportunity to thank the leadership team and Ms. Barrett for the outstanding job that they have done to make sure that the process got done right; make sure that at any given time they know how many contracts are in the pipeline and how many contracts have been sent out to physicians. One of the objectives is giving the physicians more time to review their contracts. Initially, they had to get rid of the backlog. Ms. Grant said she promised Dr. Hoffberger that she would give him more time instead of three days and Ms. Barrett agreed.
• They have templates for each type of service needed for ER call and patients being referred through the primary care clinics to the specialist.
• Ms. Grant said that they are committed to 155 contracts (processed 157), Continuum of Care distributed 82; Fee for Service without ER call distributed 32; Primary Care Physicians at BHN distributed 10 and Leases they distributed 33.
• The plan moving forward is that they will have a timeline; all the contracts will be in the one system (Meditract) and they will know at each step of the way where the contracts are and will be able to initiate those contracts in a timely manner before they expire and get them to the physicians quickly.
• Operational improvements: Lowered Drug Expense by improving 340B pricing.
• Released RFP for External Advertising Agency. Ms. Grant said that there is a team that will look over the responses and she will keep the Board apprised of the process.
• Developed Charter for Patient Safety Quality Committee. Ms. Grant informed the Commissioners that BH has a Patient Safety Committee where they have members from each of the medical centers and they meet once a month. Dr. Westphal and Ms. Grant are chairs. The objective of that committee is to really look at BH’s quality initiatives and customer service scores. Ms. Grant’s challenge to each of the CEOs is within six months BH’s HCAHPS scores should be at a minimum of the 50th percentile as it relates for the CMS database. With regard to BH North, they are at the 50th percentile so their challenge is to be above the 50th percentile.
• Care Warriors Win prestigious Awards and Recognition: Alumine Bellone was awarded the Rising Star Award by the Risk and Insurance Management Society; Dr Anna Hayden was reappointed to the Board of Osteopathic Medicine; Dr. Doris Peck was named one of the Most Powerful Women in IT; Jasmin Shirley was named finalist and runner-up for the Sapphire Award from the Florida Blue Foundation; and Dr. Dan Westphal moderated a scientific session entitled “Threats to Patient Safety and Quality Related to Digital Information” at American College of Medical Quality Conference. Other panelists included professors and researchers from John Hopkins and Georgetown University.
• Touching lives in Our Community: Ms. Grant has challenged each of the CEOs to get out into the community and share BH’s message: Total of 251 hosted outreach classes and/or events for March and touched 18,329 lives. Ms. Grant said that she was also out and about and she shared all her visits with the Commissioners in her report.

Ms. Grant asked the Commissioners if they liked this report. They replied yes.

Ms. Grant informed the Commissioners that Ms. Dionne Wong compiled a calendar of events for May—May is Hospital and Nurses Week and if any of the Commissioners want to attend any of the events, please let Ms. Wing know. Ms. Grant encouraged each of the Commissioners
to attend one of the events. She said that the employees will really appreciate it. A schedule was provided to each Commissioner.

CFO REPORT

Mr. Arthur Wallace welcomed Commissioner Robison and said he looks forward to working with her.

Financial Highlights for the month:

- Loss from Operations for March 2016 of $7.23 million was favorable to the budgeted target by $4.80 million. March results were driven by strong volume; much improved payer mix and continued expense management. Year-to-date loss from operation of $115.8 million is unfavorable to budget by $3.30 million. Mr. Wallace said that when looking at operations, BH does not consider tax funds. They are counted as overall excess or deficiency. In addition, Investment income, Investment manager fees or interest expense. Mr. Wallace said they look at the controllables that are controlled by the managers at the regions.

- Excess of revenue over expense of $16.7 million was favorable to budget by $16.2 million. Investment gains were very strong in March overall gains of $13.2 million and exceeded budget by $11.9 for March. Year-to-Date deficiency of $42.5 million is unfavorable to budget by $35.2 million.

- Debt Service Coverage Ratio increased from 1.21 to 2.65 through March. Year-to-date required Covenant level equals 1.15 on Letters of Credit and 1.10 on Bonds. Mr. Wallace said they are going to press on and make this even stronger. The month of April looks like it is strong but not as strong as March.

- Patient Cash collections for the month totaled $92.9 million. This is the most cash ever collected in any single month in the history of BH exceeding the previous monthly high of $87.4 in April 2014. It was both the hospital billing operations and the physician business office which bills for all of the doctors and clinics—both divisions beat their all-time highs.

Commissioner Robison said that she is amazed by this report. She asked as far as the difference in the patient cash collections, were there any extra ordinary efforts to get collections in during the last month or was this cash collection so much higher due solely to the increase in volume since it seems remarkably high? Mr. Wallace said that BH had two solid months and there was a lot of cash to collect. BH saw cash collections lower in December and January because October and November were not great. Mr. Wallace also said that the way that March fell on the calendar is the best way that a month can fall for BH to collect cash where there were five Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, which are strong cash collection days.

UPDATE CHIEF INTERNAL AUDITOR

Ms. Vinnette Hall reported that the Internal Audit department is currently working in collaboration with the Compliance and Risk Management departments to develop a more efficient and robust risk assessment structure. They are currently working on finalizing their survey and plan to roll it out shortly within the next two weeks. The survey is very important and it will help develop the audit and compliance plans and will assist the risk management department in assessing and evaluating risk that is facing the District and how they can best mitigate those risks, whether they need additional insurance or additional policies and procedures. The survey will be followed with certain interviews with management employees. She asked for everyone’s help in completing the survey.
A meeting was held with the external auditors who should be prepared shortly to come in and start the process for BH’s fiscal year 2016 audit report. They had mentioned that they have potential concerns given the issues surrounding the District that may cause completion of the audit. They sent a document request looking for a lot of information about allegations, potential investigation, and regulatory concerns. Ms. Hall is sending them some information so that they can evaluate in terms of planning the scope of their audit but she wanted to make sure that the Commissioners are fully aware that they do have these concerns. They are evaluating and there might be timing issues related to the audit which cause concern.

Commissioner Ure asked if they had requested audit letters from the law firm that are involved in the investigation. He said he saw the email that they sent Ms. Hall on the 18th. One of the issues that was discussed was understanding what the enhanced scope of their services needs to be and determine a process for addressing those concerns. Commissioner Ure said that one of the things that he did not hear from them that he would expect to hear from them is reaching out to those firms that are involved in various phases of these allegations referenced in their letter. Commissioner Ure asked where they were with this—did they request audit letters.

Ms. Hall said that KPMC had requested correspondence from various regulatory agencies that BH has received subpoenas or orders from. They did just receive most of the information in the last couple of days and they are reviewing that information and will be deciding what they will need and what their scope of the audit will need to be.

Commissioner Ure asked Ms. Hall if they had been advised of what firms are providing various services in areas that they have raised questions and were they given contact information. Ms. Hall said that she did not believe that a list of legal firms were on the list that they requested. Commissioner Ure restated his question. He said with respect to the issues and they have not named any one issue, it was quite broad, so there are law firms performing services in those areas where they have raised questions. He said that the normal process would be that they would get a list of those firms. Ms. Hall said the only report that they have requested was from Berger Singerman. Ms. Hall said that KPMG has been provided the Berger Singerman report and they will be given any contact information that they request.

Chair Rodriguez said that they would like to pay Berger Singerman but BH is still waiting for some of the documents. Chair Rodriguez said that BH cannot pay him without receiving the work product and if he wants to get paid he needs to produce the work product. The Chair said that he has sent three letters to Berger Singerman and it appears that they are going back and forth.

Ms. Hall said she saw the communication between the Chair and Mr. Berger and she met yesterday with Ms. Grant and there has been a request from the IG also related to the work product from the Berger Singerman firm. Mr. Berger has asserted that the Board is his client and that there is a privilege with the Board and the Board needs a waiver of privilege at the Board level as a body as opposed to by any one individual member or anyone on the Board.

Chair Rodriguez asked if a motion was needed by the Board. Ms. Hall said that was correct.

Ms. Lynn Barrett, General Counsel, said that the statute that was cited by Mr. Berger says specifically that if there is a work product exemption that it is not waived by the release of any public record to another public employee or officer of the same agency. To the extent that there is work product, Ms. Barrett said that the work product according to the statute that he cites is not waived if it is released to Ms. Barrett, Ms. Hall or another public employee officer of the same
agency and he worked on behalf of the agency--the agency as defined by the statute that he cites which is the District.

Commissioner Ure said he was confused and asked if they still have not received everything from Berger Singerman.

Chair Rodriguez said BH has not and it appears they are in a Catch 22. Ms. Hall is not a lawyer and the Chair has written to him letting him know that BH wants to pay them but he needs to release the work product.

Commissioner VanHoose asked if Mr. Berger’s firm is saying that they cannot release the information to the Board. Ms. Hall said that he is saying that there needs to be a motion at the Board level that releases any privilege and then it would be released to anyone. Ms. Barrett said that the statute clearly states that if there is a work product exemption it is not waived.

Commissioner VanHoose said that the Board was not asking him to send the information to the OIG. They are asking him to send the information to the Board. She questioned why they need action for this but if that is what he is requesting it would be a quick motion but she does not understand what he has said.

Commissioner Gustafson said that BH is the client and within the entity there are employees that were in the news and he believes that they are dealing with those types of information that was collected and if they have the privilege to just hand it over.

Ms. Barrett said that the privilege does not extend to the employees, it belongs to the entity. Commissioner Gustafson said it belongs to the entity but they are claiming that some of the information that is being sent from us to go to the Inspector General. Ms. Barrett said that they are only talking about getting the information to the District. Ms. Hall said they are talking about both. Ms. Barrett said that from a legal perspective the first step is to get the information and then they can determine if there is work product by the statute he cites.

Commissioner Canada said that the client with Berger Singerman was the Board. The Client was not Ms. Barrett or the system. The Board hired Mr. Berger and his firm. Ms. Barrett said that the Board is not separate from the District and the statute that Mr. Berger cites is the statute that applies to the agency. If there is a theory that it is the Board’s documents then the Board cannot even claim the privilege because the privilege belongs to the agency which is the District. So if there is a work product exemption, it applies to the agency.

Ms. Barrett said that a motion is needed to release the documents to Ms. Hall and Ms. Barrett.

Commissioner VanHoose said that if they engage legal counsel then they should get the work product. It should not be necessary to have a meeting and discussion in order to ask for what they are paying for.

Commissioner Canada said that not being a lawyer she has been told that work product or subjective information generally is not turned over to the client. Usually that is held back.

Ms. Barrett said that the distinction needs to be made between the general work product that attorneys can have and BH’s work product which is very different.
Commissioner VanHoose said that she would just like to make a board action so there is no confusion a month from now.

Commissioner VanHoose moved that Berger Singerman turn over all remaining documents to the District.

Commissioner Gustafson said so they are going to turn it over to Ms. Hall and have her decide herself as to what to do with it or is General Counsel going to give her direction? Ms. Barrett said that she and Ms. Hall would be working together.

Chair Rodriguez commented that there was a motion on the floor and asked for a second. Commissioner Robison seconded Commissioner VanHoose’s motion.

Roll call showed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VanHoose</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ure</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robison</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion passed 5-1

Ms. Hall said she had a meeting with Ms. Grant, Compliance and Legal regarding the turning over of the records and reviewing of all of the documents to see what might be marked privileged or confidential, which is going to take some time. Ms. Hall reiterated that this is an extensive amount of documents and some are protected. She consulted IT and there is no way to automate the search for those marked confidential or privileged. It will require manual labor and resources to go through all of those documents.

Ms. Barrett asked if Berger Singerman told Ms. Hall if the documents contained confidential or privileged information. Ms. Hall said that all of the letters from Berger Singerman said that they could be contained in the documents that they provide deemed confidential and/or privileged. Ms. Hall stated that if the Board decides to waive privilege and they do it now then they save a lot of resources going through what will amount to thousands of pages of documents to try and find what is marked privileged or confidential.

Commissioner Gustafson asked if when the people were being interviewed were told that it was a confidential interview. Ms. Hall said that the privilege was with the Board and the Board could waive privilege as a body.

Commissioner Canada said if the IG wants privileged information why not contact the people who did the interviewing instead of getting work product. Why not interview Berger Singerman individually or whoever conducted the interviews and get the information that way. Then BH is not divulging the entire personal work product that has been established during the review.

Commissioner Robison said that Berger Singerman represents the District. They cannot turn over information to the Inspector General or anyone else. The materials that they have belong to the District. The District pays for them, they are confidential. There is the relationship that BH has with that law firm. She said that she does this for a living and if someone called her and asked her...
tell me what you told your client or who you spoke to, she would say they were nuts. The relationship is there; however, the relationship has ended but that does not mean that they can go out and say whatever they want to say about that relationship. They still have fiduciary and ethical duties as the lawyer that was hired by BH. However, they do not have the ability to require BH to waive the privilege to give BH its own documents. They would have a request for waiver of privilege if they were representing one of the Board members rather than the District but, as she understands it, if the engagement is to represent the District, then those documents are the District’s.

Commissioner Canada said she thought that the engagement with Berger Singerman was with the Board and not the District. Commissioner Robison said unless they had something special where the Board has the ability to hire their own lawyers to represent the Commissioners as individual board members—BH hired them on behalf of the District. Commissioner Canada said that there was a vote where they had a debate during the meeting where they discussed whether or not the Board did have the right to hire its own outside counsel. It was approved by 4-3 and then the Board hired Berger and Singerman. Commissioner Robison asked if the Board is paying for it and not the District. Commissioner Robison said that the statute speaks to the agency and she did not see how the Board could be the client apart from the District unless the Board made arrangements to have a separate engagement. Commissioner Canada said that they did. Commissioner Robison asked if each Board member signed the engagement letter and agreed to pay the bill. Commissioner Canada said no.

Ms. Barrett said that she and Ms. Hall can work together to determine if there is any work product of privileged/confidential documents.

Chair Rodriguez said he wants to make sure that help is being given to Ms. Hall because she has done a great job and right now she is in a situation where she needs help. He said that Ms. Barrett and Ms. Hall can work together and sort this entire thing out.

Commissioner Robison understands the law firm’s concern about the way they’re privileged with respect that anything that goes to the IG or anyone else is the legitimate concern and she did not argue with that at all. However, they should not have any question whatsoever sending them to the District to anyone who is under the control or oversight of this Board without requiring the Board to waive anything. She said that once they get to that point then maybe the way to resolve it with them would be to say that BH would make the determination as to whether anything is privileged that goes to the IG and that is the way it should be. Ms. Barrett said and that includes all the documents that BH has not gotten. Commissioner Robison agreed.

**GENERAL COUNSEL REPORT**

Ms. Barrett reported that they have done a lot of work on the contract process. She mentioned that they had been working on certain template agreements since February and analyzing arrangements. They concluded those and she did not know the exact count, but that came from Contracts Administration who is responsible for sending them out.

They also did a timeshare lease template in addition to the Leases. They also received FMVs and Commercial Reasonableness reports for all of the arrangements for on-call coverage and Medical Directorships. So it was not just the template, it was staying with the process of having the protection of the FMV and Commercial Reasonableness.
Ms. Barrett said that in addition, Physician Services executed a contract with an employed physician, Orthopedic Surgeon, and serendipitously, he will be the acting program director for the new Orthopedic Surgery Resident Program. It was in the 35th percentile so it did not come for approval. There was little negotiation on anything, material and a lot of non-complete. They also have two more physician employment agreements that are very close to being final, one for cardiology and the other for oncology and a fourth one that should go out this week to the physician for Transplant.

Ms. Barrett requested a Shade Meeting concerning pending litigation about which she will need the Board’s advice and guidance on Erga Stennitt vs. NBHD at a time convenient for the Board in May.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Ure that the Board approve a Shade Meeting to be scheduled in the month of May. Motion carried unanimously.

It was decided at the Board meeting that the Shade Meeting will be held following the May 25th Board meeting.

**UPDATE BROJARD HEALTH FOUNDATION**

Mr. Dennis Stefanacci said he just wanted to provide some highlights and really appreciated the opportunity to talk about the Foundation. Things are going very well with the Foundation and with all of what is going on in the community their donor base has stayed committed to the Foundation and to this healthcare district. The message continues to be that Ms. Grant and the Board feel that it is all about quality of care, the employees and doctors and not what they read in the newspaper. The Foundation is not worried about what is in the newspaper, just about raising money in support of the projects. Mr. Stefanacci then provided the following update:

- Salah Foundation Challenge Grant –more than $9.75 million committed toward the $10 million goal. Expect to reach or exceed the $10 million at its upcoming Ball on May 14th.
- Working on closing a $1 million gift to name the Neuroscience Institute at Broward Health North and plan to raise the remaining $1.5 million needed to complete this project.
- Employee giving campaign is a huge success again this year. They expect to exceed $200,000 again this year with a participation rate in excess of 20%. As a result, well on the way to raising their second million dollars from employees over the next several years.
- The NFL Alumni Association local chapter adopted the BH Foundation as their charity of choice for their golf tournament this year. They are thrilled with the exposure this event brings to the Foundation and to the Children’s Hospital, and look forward to their continuing developing relationship.
- With the support and help of Commissioner Canada and Rita Frantz, they are in the final stages of developing a partnership with Guy Harvey Enterprises and the Children’s Hospital. They are particularly excited about this arrangement because it will be the only Children’s Hospital with which Guy Harvey has associated himself.
- On May 18th, the Foundation will hold its first annual meeting of its newly formed Advisory Board. The Board now stands at 21 members and represents some of the most dedicated volunteer leaders and philanthropists in the community.
- Finally, Mr. Stefanacci wanted to remind everyone that the Salah Foundation Broward Health Ball is on Saturday, May 14th, at the Hard Rock, and they hope everyone will attend.
To date, they have 615 registered and are expecting 700 to register and also raise about $1 million.

**Committee assignments:**
Chair Rodriguez informed the Board that he has been advised that he needs to make some Committee assignments so that all of the Committees are compliant with the Bylaws.

Pension and Investment—he appointed Commissioner Linda Robison
Governance Committee – he appointed Commissioner Linda Robison
Risk Management and Claims Committee---Commissioner Gustafson is Chair

Commissioner VanHoose moved to ratify the Committee assignments, seconded by Commissioner Ure. Motion carried unanimously.

The Chair also directed Commissioner Ure to bring back a pool of resumes (approx. 6) for the Board to review for the purpose of selecting an outside member to serve on the Audit Committee. The Committee currently only has one outside member and two are required. Commissioner Ure asked if there were qualifications. Ms. Barrett said the Bylaws say just expert consultant but they can check to see what is written in the Audit Committee Charter. It was noted that only one outside member needs to be a CPA.

**COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

**Special Audit Committee**
Commissioner Christopher Ure said that everyone received the minutes and since March 16th, quite a bit has changed. He requested approval.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Gustafson,

That the Board of Commissioners approve the minutes of the March 16, 2016 Special Audit Committee meeting as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

HR Committee 
Commissioner Christopher Ure
HR Committee 
Commissioner Christopher Ure
HR Committee 
Commissioner Christopher Ure

Commissioner Ure stated that the HR Committee met several times. He summarized all three meetings; he focused on the CEO search. They conducted a very exhaustive process of reaching out to qualified national search firms as directed by the Board. He said that they reached out to six or seven firms and actually had two that responded. Over a period of two separate HR committee meetings they evaluated both of the firms, including oral presentations. They addressed some parameters for Ms. Barrett’s review which is on the agenda. One of the other things that they spent a lot of time discussing was the issue of employee morale. Ms. Wong was very helpful in sharing some thoughts on how they can do that much more in terms of recognition to change the dialogue if just for a moment.

Commissioner Ure asked for approval.
It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Gustafson,
That the Board of Commissioners approve the minutes of the March 14, April 1 and April 8, 2016 minutes of the Human Resource Committee meetings as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Chair Rodriguez thanked Ms. Wong for all of her work; they could not do it without her.

Quality Assessment & Oversight Committee

Commissioner Rocky Rodriguez said that he and Commissioner Canada along with Ms. Grant and Dr. Westphal along with other members attended the meeting. He said that Ms. Grant covered everything and he was going to waive his comments in the essence of time and ask for approval of the minutes as presented.

It was moved by Commissioner Ure, seconded by Commissioner VanHoose,

That the Board of Commissioners approve the minutes of the April 8, 2016 meeting of the Quality Assessment and Oversight Committee meeting as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Board Compliance Committee

Commissioner Joel Gustafson said everyone has received the minutes and there being no changes or additions, he asked for approval.

It was moved by Commissioner Canada, seconded by Commissioner VanHoose,

That the Board of Commissioners approve the minutes of the April 13, 2016 meeting of the Board Compliance Committee meeting as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Finance Committee

Commissioner Canada said that everything is on the Consent agenda with two items on the Discussion agenda due to waiving of the bidding requirement. There being no changes or additions, Commissioner Canada asked for approval.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Ure,

That the Board of Commissioners approve the minutes of the April 20, 2016 meeting of the Finance Committee meeting as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Legal Affairs Committee

Commissioner VanHooose said that the meeting occurred prior to the board meeting and there was one item that was discussed that is currently on the Consent Agenda (Item 13—Approval of General Surgery PPCU Agreement with BHMC). Draft minutes for the Legal Affairs Committee.

It was moved by Commissioner Gustafson, seconded by Commissioner Ure,
That the Board of Commissioners approve the Draft minutes of the Legal Affairs Committee meeting on April 27, 2016. Formal minutes will be presented for approval at the May 25th, 2016 Board of Commissioners meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

Chair Rodriguez asked if there were any items on the Consent agenda that needed to be pulled for further discussion. Commissioner Canada asked that Item 17 be pulled.


10. Approval to authorize the Interim President/CEO to execute an option to renew the agreement and accept Ryan White Part A Funding from Broward County’s Human Services Department, Substance Abuse and Health Care Services Division, in the amount of $1,845,974 for the period 3/0/2016 – 02/28/2017 to provide essential services to individuals and families affected by HIV/AIDS in Broward County. Following approval of the Board of commissioners as to the terms, the contract is subject to General Counsel’s review and approval as to legal form and conditioned on no material changes in the approved business terms.

11. Approval to authorize the Interim President/CEO to purchase fourteen (14) Servo I Ventilators from Maquet and two (2) 3100A High Frequency Oscillators from Carefusion for Respiratory Therapy at BHMC at a cost of $747,374 through the Group Purchasing Organization (MedAsset) in accordance with Section 24 (1)(d) of the North Broward Hospital District Charter.

12. Approval to authorize the Interim President/CEO to approve the release of Capital Project 16BHW1800 to fund the purchase of the Siemens Total Imaging Matrix (TIM) upgrade through the Group Purchasing Organization (MedAssets) in accordance with Section 24 (1) (d) of the North Broward Hospital District Charter for Broward Health Weston at a cost of $600,000.

13. Approval of General Surgery PPUC Agreement BHMC (Legal Review)

14. Approval to Conduct an RFI process for FMV vendors.1 (Board Compliance)

15. Approval to adjust Mr. Kevin Fusco’s salary in the role of acting President/CEO to $590,000 from date of board appointment through cessation of those duties and responsibilities. Severance for Mr. Fusco should represent 12 months at his COO rate at the time of appointment to acting President/CEO.

16. Approval to adjust Ms. Pauline Grant’s salary for the interim CEO role to $619,000; and if Ms. Grant serves as the Interim President/CEO for a period of 12 months or more her severance arrangement will be based at the revised salary; otherwise, it will be based on her salary as CEO of Broward Health North.

17. A) The following recommendation was made: using the HR Performance Evaluation Form, each Commissioner will meet with Ms. Barrett individually to complete her evaluation. This will afford each Commissioner the ability to ask the questions necessary to complete their evaluation form as well as to respect the potential for certain
sensitive matters that should not be made public potentially in defense of the system. Secondly, Ms. Barrett should be afforded the opportunity to provide her own update with respect to what she has been doing in the past eight months. What were the priorities that she took on and what were the priorities that were communicated to her.

B) Recommendation to the Board that the three individuals who report to the Board will have a six month review rather than the current stated 90-day evaluation. This six month review would be entered into their Employment Contract. PULLED FROM CONSENT—PLACED ON DISCUSSION

Chair Rodriguez asked for a motion to approve Items 9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16 as listed on the Consent agenda.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Ure:

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE ITEMS 9-10-11-12-13-14-15 AND 16 AS LISTED ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

Motion carried unanimously.

DISCUSSION AGENDA

Commissioner Ure asked that Item 17 A and B be corrected to reflect the motions as stated at the April 8th HR Committee meeting.

17A. Approval for the Board to conduct a six month performance review of Ms. Barrett utilizing the HR Performance Evaluation Form; that Ms. Barrett have individual Commissioner meetings to afford each Commissioner the opportunity to ask the questions necessary to complete their evaluation form; and Ms. Barrett be afforded the opportunity to provide her own update with respect to what she has been doing in the past six months to address the six month review. (Corrected motion)

Commissioner Canada asked for some clarification. She said at the Board meeting last month the Board was to give Ms. Barrett a review within 30 days to be held at the today’s Board meeting. At the Legal Affairs, Commissioner Canada said that she brought it up to see how they were going to handle the review and what the metric was going to be and there was some discussion. She said it ended up in HR to come up with a process. In looking at 17A, it does not reflect anything about doing a review today but it also does not match the motion in the April 8th minutes. So she was kind of confused.

Commissioner Ure said that the motion to recommend to the Board is correctly stated in the April 8th HR Committee minutes and not correctly stated on the Board agenda. Commissioner Canada said that clarifies that issue but she wanted to know how it went from a 30 day review which was recommended by the Board to a six month performance review.

Commissioner VanHoose said she would like to respond because they had a robust conversation at the HR meeting. She mentioned that six months is now for Ms. Barrett. So the idea was that for all Board reports, especially new hires, they should have an opportunity to sit down within six months of their hiring and have an opportunity to
evaluate and have that conversation. That was the idea of changing some of the language and currently it is a 90 day review. The Board has not done 90 day reviews. It is basically for the medical centers. This recommendation is now for the new CEO and also for Ms. Grant. Commissioner Canada commented then Ms. Barrett’s review will now take place within the next 30 days. Commissioner VanHoose agreed.

Commissioner Canada said that in reading through the Legal Review minutes it appeared that there was a robust discussion with regard to how to review Ms. Barrett. However, Commissioner Canada said that the Board has limited or at least she has had limited correspondence with Ms. Barrett and the staff, especially senior staff, has much more experience dealing with Ms. Barrett and she feels they should have some input into her evaluation/review warranted based on the fact that her department touches almost every other single department within Broward Health. She does not believe that any of that was addressed in the HR Committee meetings to do that type of review. That was one concern and the other concern is having a private review when she believes it should be a public review.

Commissioner Ure said he wanted to clarify what was discussed. The issue that was discussed was the very nature of Ms. Barrett’s role. There are potential litigation matters and Shade Sessions are called to discuss those types of things. What Commissioner Ure wanted was to make sure that she was not put in a position in a public forum to either not address something that she had been working on in her last six months out of defense of the system and then be afforded the opportunity in those individual meetings. That would be one of the ways those issues could be addressed to the extent that they are sensitive or litigation related or otherwise not proper for the system not to have in public. Commissioner Ure said it was not to suggest that her entire review would be private.

Chair Rodriguez asked Ms. Wong to come and address the Board. The Chair said it was also discussed that the Commissioners would be getting a score card that they would need to complete and those score cards will be included in the Board packet. He asked Ms. Wong to explain the process that was discussed.

Commissioner Canada asked who would be doing the scoring. It was said that it would just be the Board. Commissioner Canada said that was her concern.

Ms. Dionne Wong said that during the HR Committee meeting in preparation for this review, the committee was provided with several documents including two policies governing the performance appraisal process, performance improvement process, because she was uncertain as to the direction that the committee would be going. She provided information that covered all of the bases. In addition, she included Ms. Barrett’s performance position description which includes the 5-Star Values and 5 Core Competencies which are included in all employees and executive performance reviews. Those competencies specific to Ms. Barrett would be operational, strategic, legal services, leadership and HR in terms of managing her department. A form was also shared with the Board so that those competencies would be evaluated. She also included the Handling Performance Appraisal Tool Kit and that specifically provides some guidelines as to help each Board member in their individual performance evaluation what they should be considering as they are completing that form. Ms. Wong said that there is a scoring system; each dimension of that performance appraisal is worth up to five points for a total of 50 points with 27 being passing. It also includes: Meet expectations; does
not meet expectations or exceeds expectations. If it does not meet or exceed, there is a requirement that an explanation be provided. This is to guide the recipient of their appraisal to ensure that where there are deficiencies so there are some opportunities to correct those through an action planning process or a performance improvement planning process. The recipient will also be provided an opportunity to provide feedback or conduct or submit a self-evaluation which typically occurs during their annual review. Ms. Wong said that certainly this could be made available to Ms. Barrett to provide the Board with information. Ms. Wong said that the formal review is usually done at a public meeting whether it goes from committee to the full board.

Chair Rodriguez asked if the score card is public record. Ms. Wong said that they are public. Ms. Wong informed the Board that during the course of this process every form that is submitted through her office is scored, tallied and during the appraisal process every Board member confirms their scores because there are times due to discussion that a Board member changes their score because the performance appraisal process is an interactive process and certainly should not be seen as a once or twice a year opportunity.

Commissioner Canada said that the format that Ms. Wong has laid out is perfect and she does not dispute the work that has been done. However, Commissioner Canada’s concerns are that it is done public and she believes that they should not exclude the people who deal directly with Ms. Barrett.

Ms. Wong said that it is worthy of discussion in terms of a mechanism if the Board has an appetite for that to discuss what that would look like. Ms. Wong said it appears that Commissioner Canada is looking to do a 360 evaluation. That is a term that typically involves stakeholders having input as to the services being provided pursuant to the job description, values, operations and strategy. This is a worthwhile discussion that the Board should address. Ms. Wong said that she would be remiss in failing to say that a part of these appraisal processes to evaluate what has occurred there is a component where you have to project into the future what the expectations are and that they be measurable e.g. contracting process and time limits. Ms. Wong said that she knows that a lot of progress has been made recently with the team coming around and rallying towards getting those things addressed. Ms. Wong feels that measurable and actionable goals are important.

Commissioner Gustafson asked Ms. Wong how they would collect the input from a third party. Ms. Wong said that while 360 appraisals have benefits, they are burdensome. She believes that the utilization in terms of what is going on in the open market or in the industry not as pervasive as it has been. However, how to get this accomplished, how to solicit the input, how to address all of that lends itself to some thought about who sends it out, who aggregates it, how is it weighed and the comfort of the peers to provide meaningful input that one may not be perceived as negative to the person receiving the input which is a consequence of a 360 review and the other being the voluntariness of the person being asked to rate their peer or services. Ms. Wong said that BH has been an organization that has been subject to a lot of transition over the last few months, some emanating from deep pain and tragedy so at this point, it is something to consider. However, with 360, Ms. Wong said historically she would actually hire a consultant and it could be very time consuming in terms of a process wherein it’s anonymous and weighed and de-identified to get that done without necessarily implicating or eroding the relationships that they are trying to build right now. Ms. Wong is not one to support
anonymity especially when talking about professional integrity and reliability. This is a lot to consider when evaluating a 360 evaluation process. She is sure that this can be considered by the Board in the future but if there is an appetite to get a review done in a timely fashion, delay the discussion or that process at a later date, possibly at an annual potentially.

Commissioner VanHoose said she wanted to make it clear that nowhere in the HR Committee did they discuss not having this evaluation done in the public and in the Sunshine. She said that they wanted to follow the current evaluation process that is in place for the integrity for the entire HR process. This will be similar to how Dr. El Sanadi and Ms. Hall’s evaluations were done. They were put on the agenda and all the evaluation forms were there for the public to read and individually they gathered more information.

Commissioner VanHoose said that having come from a public entity and working for a public entity, she did not always have the best relationship with her General Counsel mainly because her General Counsel was always the person who told her she could not do something. If someone had asked her to rate her General Counsel in any public entity that she worked in, it would never have been a great dialogue.

Commissioner VanHoose feels that they need to get this evaluation done and move forward with it and receive these forms in the next couple of weeks. They need to meet with Ms. Barrett and have those discussions and give her the opportunity to reply. Commissioner VanHoose also said that whatever they do for Ms. Barrett becomes a precedent and would need to be done for the others who report to the Board and that is an important conversation for the Board to have.

Commissioner VanHoose moved to conduct a six month performance review of Ms. Barrett utilizing the HR Performance Evaluation Form; that Ms. Barrett have individual Commissioner meetings to afford each Commissioner the opportunity to ask the questions necessary to complete their evaluation form; and Ms. Barrett be afforded the opportunity to provide her own update with respect to what she has been doing in the past six months to address the six month review.

Commissioner Canada said having individual commissioner meetings is not having those in the public and that is where she was confused. Commissioner VanHoose said it is the same process that was used when doing Dr. El Sanadi’s evaluation and their scores were placed in the Board book for the public to review.

Commissioner VanHoose’s motion was seconded by Commissioner Ure. Motion carried unanimously.

17B. Approval for the Board to conduct a mandatory introductory six-month review for the three individuals who report to the Board to replace the 90 day evaluation requirement and reduced requirement writing. (Corrected motion)

Commissioner VanHoose asked if they were changing the policy or process.

Ms. Wong said what happens here is that she delineates this motion into the existing policy so that it is clear for all other employees that it will be 90 days. BH is held
accountable to that by its accrediting agencies and regulatory standards; however, this being memorialized with six months they will be able to be in compliance as long as it is done in the six month period and it will govern just the Board’s three direct reports. Ms. Wong said that she can just put a sentence in the existing policy specific to this motion.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Ure that the Board of Commissioners of the North Broward Hospital District the Board of Commissioners review their direct reports at the six month mark and that the policies be changed in accordance with the Board action and be brought back to the Board for final approval. Motion carried unanimously.


Commissioner Canada said that she pulled this Item from the Finance Committee agenda because she was informed that the process was under review. Initially it was with Audit and then it was turned over to Compliance. She has concerns with how the letters for the Search firm were sent and how the letters were returned. She feels that Commissioner Ure did not follow the Procurement Process with regards to this process. She then asked Ms. Lewis to come forward and share her findings for the review at this time.

Ms. Donna Lewis, VP Compliance and Privacy Officer, provided an update. She said that a concern was reported to her confidentially through the disclosure program which is a mechanism for employees, physicians and vendors to report any concerns that they have either through emails, Hot Line or coming to office. She said that the concern was anonymous. The concern specifically relates to who made the contact with the Executive Search Firms. The standard process is for Broward Health staff to make that contact. Ms. Lewis said she received the concern; it is logged in and currently under compliance review. She said it will take at least a week for the review and should be completed by Friday, May 6th.

Commissioner Canada stated that according to the minutes, it appears that Commissioner Ure transmitted the RFP himself to the Search firms. She asked Ms. Lewis if that is what she found. Ms. Lewis said that they were still in the process of reviewing all of the documents. The review will consist of reviewing meeting minutes: Board, HR Committee and interviewing various staff. She does not have any conclusions or opinions at this point.

Commissioner Ure said he was not debating this and he could speed up the review. Commissioner Ure said that he sent the RFP letter requesting proposals from each of the Search firms that were on the list, a list in consultation with Ms. Wong and presented to the Board. He said that is what happened and he hoped it helped with the review.

Commissioner Canada said that Ms. Wong has prepared the proposal but Commissioner Ure made changes to it before he sent it out. Commissioner Ure said he asked her for a draft letter which he updated for the current situation including contact information and date and things like that. Commissioner Canada asked Commissioner Ure if he had the responses from the firms sent directly back to him. Commissioner Ure said correct.
Commissioner Canada said that they never got to see the final letter that went out and the responses went directly back to Commissioner Ure so staff was circumvented during this process.

Commissioner Ure said that he forwarded every one of the responses back to Ms. Wong. There were two of them and she did a very detailed compare and contrast of each of two respondents and they had a live debate in two separate meetings.

Commissioner Canada asked if the procedure outlined here today is part of the Procurement Code. Ms. Lewis asked Mr. Darmindra (Richie) Persaud, Director of Procurement, to come forward and address the Board. Mr. Persaud said that he was asked if this procurement falls under the guidelines of the existing Procurement Code. He said that the answer was No. Professional services, Marketing does not fall under the Procurement Code. However, to the broader question if it is represented as a consulting service, again, it does not qualify but if it is moved into a space as an RFP then those rules would apply. Mr. Persaud said the services do not qualify under the existing Procurement Code.

Commissioner VanHoose said that they did not have one meeting on this topic, there were several meetings with staff in the room. If there was a misstep at any point in time, why was it not addressed.

Mr. Persaud said he was just asked the question by Ms. Barrett yesterday if that service qualifies under the Procurement Code and he said he told he that the answer was No. That was all that was asked of Mr. Persaud and that was his response.

Commissioner Ure said so that does not mean that the Procurement Code does not apply. Mr. Persaud said that there is an exemption in the existing code for any Professional Services, Consulting Services and Marketing Services which means that they did not need to go through a formal RFP process.

Commissioner Gustafson asked where this leaves us with this concern.

Chair Rodriguez said that he feels they need to move forward and get the letter signed so that the Board can move forward so the process can get started. Everything that has been done has been approved by the Board.

Commissioner Gustafson questioned why he did do this on his own.

Commissioner Canada said that they talked about having this go through the Procurement process from day one. RFP is all over minutes and they are talking about hiring a new CEO for the District, talking about the most powerful position within the District that they will be hiring for the next five years and now they are being told that it did not need to go through the RFP process.

Mr. Persaud told Commissioner Canada that in terms of how the Board decides to procure the services, again, there is an exemption in the code that does not require that process to go through a formal RFP process. He said he was speaking to the existing Procurement Policy of the District as it stands today.
Commissioner VanHoose commented that the HR Committee had so many conversations and sat down with Ms. Wong and thoroughly had a debate and opened it to the entire Board to sit down and join the HR Committee in interview with the Search Firms. There was one entire day that they dived into the proposals and another day where they sat down with both firms and had a dialogue. Commissioner VanHoose said that she walked into the interview with firm A as her choice and following the conversation she voted for the other firm. She said it was a very robust conversation in terms of hiring firms. They looked at the top hiring firms across the nation. Originally, there was conversation that Korn Ferry would not be able to apply because they are currently doing the work on South Broward District so it limited who could apply for this process. Commissioner VanHoose said she was a little confused because they have been very open with this process and she wants to make sure that everyone knows how they ended up with Diversified and what Diversified was offering because there were so many conversations in the public.

Commissioner Canada said she looks at their roles as Commissioners and they are oversight roles. The functions and steps that Commissioner Ure took were not oversight; they were administrative. She does not believe that is the role of the commissioners. In looking through the minutes, Commissioner Ure did ask Ms. Wong what the process was and she said that they send out RFPs to their Recruitment department and that did not happen. Commissioner Canada said she was curious as to why Commissioner Ure felt that he had to take this task upon himself to act in an administrative role when there is staff that are hired to take on this function.

Commissioner Ure said he was asked by this Board to chair the Search Committee and that is what he has done. He felt that he participated in a particularly thorough and transparent and well conducted process and believes that they have arrived at an excellent choice.

Chair Rodriguez entertained a motion to approve the Diversified Search Firm Engagement Letter and Agreement.

Commissioner VanHoose said to Commissioner Canada’s point, Commissioner Ure was selected to be head of the Search Committee and in a normal company this would not be an issue but in a public entity and a taxing district things work differently. She understands where Commissioner Canada is coming from and as a Board and collegial body they need to be more diligent and voice their opinion in the future when this happens again.

Commissioner VanHoose moved, seconded by Commissioner Ure to approve the Letter of Engagement and agreement for Diversified Search Firm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll Call:</th>
<th>Commissioner Rodriguez</th>
<th>yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioner VanHoose</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioner Ure</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioner Canada</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioner Robison</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioner Gustafson</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion carried 4 - 2
19. Approval for the Board of Commissioners of the North Broward Hospital District, in accordance with Section 241(d) of the Charter, to waive the bidding requirement due to Sole Source and authorize the Interim President/CEO to purchase one Airborne Neonatal Transportation System from Biomedical International, for the NICU at Broward Health Medical Center at an estimated cost of $115,586.00 in accordance with the Resolution 16-4-27-01.

Mr. Mark Sprada informed the Commissioner that this request was presented at the Finance Committee but because it is a Sole Source and is waiving the NBHD bidding requirements, it requires that it be discussed on the Discussion agenda.

Ms. Sprada asked approval for BHMC and the Children’s Hospital to purchase one Airborne Neonatal Transportation System from Biomedical International for the NICU at BHMC at an estimated cost of $115,586. This transport helps with ground and air transports to retrieve critically ill neonates often very low birth rate babies. He then went on to explain the capabilities of the new incubator. The current model has reached its end of life and was obtained in 1998. This request was approved by the Procurement Steering Committee and Purchasing Department and received a bid in the amount of $115,586. Following the reading of Resolution No. 16-4-27-01 into the record, Mr. Sprada asked for approval.

It was moved by Commissioner VanHoose, seconded by Commissioner Canada,

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE NORTH BROWARD HOSPITAL DISTRICT APPROVE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 24 (4) OF THE CHARTER, TO WAIVE THE BIDDING REQUIREMENT DUE TO SOLE SOURCE AND AUTHORIZE THE INTERIM PRESIDENT/CEO TO PURCHASE ONE AIRBORNE NEONATAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM FROM BIOMEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, FOR THE NICU AT BROWARD HEALTH MEDICAL CENTER AT AN ESTIMATED COST OF $115,586.00 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESOLUTION 16-4-27-01.

Motion carried unanimously.

20. Termination of Purchase and Sales Agreement for the Sunrise Property.

Mr. Polemeni informed the Board that the developer has entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain time duration. Six months to go to closing and he was unable to choose that but was able to provide BH with additional funds to buy time. But due to inability to resolve some issues with the City of Sunrise on his developments since he is aggregating multi properties he was not able to reach a point to go forward with the contract. Therefore, he has withdrawn the contract and the end result is that the NBHD is $100,000 to the good and they still retain the property. Mr. Polemeni said that if they do have a valid interest they will then go out for an RFQ to the marketplace to solicit bids to see if that is the highest and best bid. There is no interest at this time.

Chair Rodriguez was familiar with this project and it appears that NBHD has made out pretty well.
Mr. Polemeni said this was just for information and no action is required.

21. BHMC Bid Results for Employee Garage Remediation 16MC1816

Mr. Polemeni said he was pleased to submit the bid results for the RFQ for this project. Lambert Brothers Inc. is the lowest responsible bidder in the amount of $863,000. The funding source is 16MC1816 which is FY16 approved budget amount of $400,000. The bid results exceed the approved FY16 amount and this project has been reduced in scope to start within the approved budget while providing incremental benefits to resolve periodic folding at this BHMC Employee Garage as well as resolving outstanding code enforcement issues.

Mr. Polemeni asked for approval to award the bid to Lambert Brothers Inc., the lowest responsible bidder in an amount not to exceed $400,000.

It was moved by Commissioner Gustafson, seconded by Commissioners Canada,

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVE AUTHORIZATION OF NOTICE OF AWARD TO LAMBERT BROTHERS INC, THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $400,000.

Motion carried unanimously.

22. BHMC Bid Results for Pediatric Pharmacy 15MC1709

On behalf of the Facilities Management Department of BHMC request to increase the Pediatric Pharmacy project 15MC1609 capital budget to $850,000 by substituting $350,000 from Dialysis Relocation Project 16MC1811 which was combined 15MC1706 for a total of $850,000 and award the contract to Lego Construction the low bidder for the Pediatric Pharmacy RFQ. The Pediatric Satellite Pharmacy serves all the Pediatric clinical areas and is not equipped with a compliant room for compounding sterile products. This is a project that needs to be prioritized due to compliance regarding the USP797 requirement for air exchanges and HEPA filtration.

Mr. Polemeni asked for approval.

It was moved by Commissioner Gustafson, seconded by Commissioners Canada,

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AUTHORIZE THE INTERIM PRESIDENT/CEO TO AWARD THE CONTRACT TO LEGO CONSTRUCTION THROUGH THE REQUEST FOR QUOTATION, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 24 (1) (d) OF THE NORTH BROWARD HOSPITAL DISTRICT CHARTER, AT BROWARD HEALTH MEDICAL CENTER, AT A REVISED BUDGET OF $850,000.

Motion carried unanimously.

23. BHN Bid Results for Main Lobby Vestibule 16BHN1808
Mr. Polemeni submitted the bid results for the RFQ for 16BHN1808. He reported that American Craftsmen of America is the lowest responsible bidder in the amount of $336,950.00. The funding source for this fund is 16BHN1808 which is FY16 approved budget amount of $250,000. 16BHN1810 (Bathroom refurbishment) will be used to make up the budget shortfall in the amount of $86,950. The issue here is the main lobby and in the summertime when the hot moist air comes into the vestibule, which is not conditioned, it goes into the cool lobby area and provides condensation and causes slips and falls for visitors which is unacceptable. This is an important project that needs to move forward because of patient and visitor safety.

Mr. Polemeni asked for approval.

It was moved by Commissioner Canada, seconded by Commissioner Robison,

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AUTHORIZE NOTICE OF AWARD TO ASSOCIATED CRAFTSMEN OF AMERICA INC., THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $336,950.

Motion carried unanimously.

24. BHN Bid Results for CT Scan 16BHN1808

Mr. Richard Polemeni stated this is an important project at BHN to add some imaging to their Imaging department. The bid results for the RFQ for this project, 16BHN1801 in the amount of $432,472. Lee Construction Corp. is the lowest responsible bidder and Mr. Polemeni requested approval.

It was moved by Commissioner Canada, seconded by Commissioner Gustafson,

THAT THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AUTHORIZE THE NOTICE OF AWARD TO LEE CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $432,472.

Motion carried unanimously.

COMMENTS BY COMMISSIONERS

Commissioner VanHoose said that this was her last meeting in her 20s.

Commissioner Ure said he appreciated all those that participated in their many HR Committee meetings. This is just the first step but a lot a work left to do. He thanked everyone who participated.

Commissioner Canada told Commissioner VanHoose that this was also her last meeting in her 20s too. She said she was delusional.

Commissioner Robison said this was her first meeting in her 20s. Commissioner Robison thanked everyone for making her feel so welcome. It is a daunting task and everyone does an
incredible amount of work on behalf of the citizens of this District and she is really honored to be on the Board.

Commissioner Rodriguez congratulated all the ladies under 30 and he welcomed Commissioner Robison.

At 8:05, the public meeting recessed to enter into two Shade Sessions.
Barbara Rustowicz vs. NHBD Case no. 09-044253 (18) Judge Garcia-Wood
Barbara Butler vs. NBHD d/b/a BH North, Case no. 13-008391 (05) Judge Lynch

The Public Meeting reconvened at 8:50 p.m. with all Commissioners present as well as Ms. Grant and Ms. Barrett.

Commissioner Gustafson, seconded by Commissioner Canada moved to adjourn the Public Meeting at 8.55 p.m.

NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING The next regularly scheduled Board of Commissioners’ meeting will be held on May 25, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. in the Corporate Office, 1700 NW 49 Street, Spectrum Complex, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Meeting adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Commissioner Maureen Canada
Secretary/Treasurer

By: Maryanne Wing

A FULL RECORDING OF THIS MEETING IS AVAILABLE ON TAPE